



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

SOLUTION OF MISCEL. PROB. (1) (SEE P. 149), BY PROF. KERSHNER.

$$\begin{aligned}(1+x)^n &= 1 + C_1x + C_2x^2 + \dots + C_2x^{n-2} + C_1x^{n-1} + x^n, \\ (1+x)^n &= x^n + C_1x^{n-1} + C_2x^{n-2} + \dots + C_2x^2 + C_1x + 1; \\ \therefore (1+x)^{2n} &= x^n + C_1x^{n+1} + C_1x^{n-1} + C_2x^{n+2} + C_1x^n + C_2x^{n-2} + \&c. \\ \text{The coefficient of } x^n \text{ in } (1+x)^{2n} &\text{ is}\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \dots (2n-1)}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \dots n} \times 2^n,$$

by the binomial expansion, and in the product above, $1 + C_1^2 + C_2^2 + \&c.$;

$$\therefore 1 + n^2 + \left\{ \frac{n(n-1)}{1 \cdot 2} \right\}^2 + \&c. = \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \dots (2n-1)}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \dots n} \times 2^n.$$

QUERY BY PROF. WM. WOOLSEY JOHNSON, ANNAPOLIS, MD.—“It is stated in Brande and Cox’ Dictionary of Sc. and Lit., with respect to Fermat’s ‘last theorem’, viz.: that $x^n + y^n = z^n$ is insoluble in integers except when $n=2$, that no complete demonstration has yet been given. Yet Barlow in his Theory of Numbers gives what professes to be a demonstration of the impossibility of the equivalent equation $x^n - y^n = z^n$, p. 164.

What is the fallacy in Barlow’s demonstration and by whom was it first exposed?”

ANSWER BY PROF. JOHN E. DAVIES, MADISON, WISCONSIN.

By reference to the famous “Report on the Theory of Numbers” by Prof. H. J. Stephen Smith of Oxford, in the British Association for the Advancement of Science Reports, 1859–62; in the Report for 1860 will be found a *resume* of Kummer’s work on Fermat’s Theorems. Kummer has shown its impossibility for all values of the exponent up to 100 and seems to have considered his proof general as the title of his paper is “Allgemeiner Beweis des Fermat’schen Satzes dass die Gleichung $x^\lambda + y^\lambda = z^\lambda$ unlösbar ist für all diejenigen Potenz-Exponenten λ welche ungeraden Primzahlen sind, und in der zählern der ersten $\frac{1}{2}(\lambda-3)$ Bernouille’schen Zahlen als Factoren nicht vorkommen.” The excepted primes, numbers like 37, 59, 67, &c., which divide the numerators of some one of the 1st $\frac{1}{2}(\lambda-3)$ fractions of Bernouilli were afterward treated by Kummer, and all those satisfying certain conditions were also found to be included in the theorem.

Concerning Barlow’s proof, Prof. Smith says—“The proof in Barlow’s Theory of Numbers, pp 160–169, is erroneous, as it reposes (see p. 168) on an elementary proposition (Cor. 2, p. 20) which is untrue.” I think it very likely that the error *had* been noticed before, but I do not know where.